

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. Nos. 03-13-90080, 03-13-90081

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: March 4, 2014)

PRESENT: McKEE, Chief Judge.

This is a complaint filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States Bankruptcy Judge and a United States District Judge (hereinafter “Subject Judge I” and “Subject Judge II”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. §§ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). The “misconduct

procedure [under the Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

Complainant alleges that Subject Judge I denied him Due Process because he refused to "reinstate, reconsider, reestablish" petitioner as a "claimant" in a bankruptcy proceeding. Complainant further asserts that these actions were "discriminatory" in nature because they were without reasonable cause. Complainant's sole support for these allegations is his disagreement with Subject Judge I's rulings. These allegations are plainly merits-related and are not cognizable under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (chief judge may dismiss a complaint if he or she finds that it is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling); Rule 3(h)(3)(A), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("[a]n allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related"); Rule 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (a complaint must be dismissed in whole or in part to the extent that the chief judge concludes that the complaint is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling).

In any event, there is no evidence to support Complainant's allegations of misconduct concerning Subject Judge I and they are dismissed as frivolous and

unsupported by any evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. Indeed, the record reflects that Complainant's Motion to Compel Administrators to Disperse Claim concerns a non-debtor entity. In addition, an exhibit attached to Complainant's Motion demonstrates that a state civil rights division reviewed Complainant's charge of employment discrimination against this non-debtor entity and "made a preliminary decision to dismiss the charge" because "we do not believe it can be established that the employer has discriminated against you . . . ."

Complainant also alleges that when he attempted to appeal Subject Judge I's decision, Subject Judge II issued an "outrageous opinion" concluding that "one area of appeal fa[lls] short of legal status." Complainant further contends that Subject Judge II "refused" to enter a final judgment thereby "leaving [the] case in limbo". These allegations are directly related to Subject Judge II's order concluding that Complainant had not "shown grounds for mandamus relief" and was required to pay a filing fee to maintain his appeal. Accordingly, these allegations are subject to dismissal as merits-related. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 3(h)(3)(A), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Complainant goes on to make vague and unsubstantiated allegations about discrimination and "judicial corruption." The record has been reviewed and there is no support for these allegations. Thus, the allegations are dismissed as frivolous and unsupported by any evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.

28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

s/ Theodore A. McKee  
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. Nos. 03-13-90080, 03-13-90081

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: March 4, 2014)

PRESENT: McKEE, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the office of the Circuit Executive of the Court of Appeals within **35 days** of the date on the letter informing the parties of the Chief Judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive of the Court of Appeals, and in an envelope marked “Misconduct Petition” or “Disability Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive of the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ Theodore A. McKee  
Chief Judge

Dated: March 4, 2014