

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-16-90073

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: April 27, 2017)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

This is a complaint filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States Magistrate Judge (hereinafter “Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. §§ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). The “misconduct procedure [under the Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks

or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

As a preliminary matter, Complainant makes allegations concerning individuals who are not subject to the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act; e.g., attorneys. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 351, 352(b)(1)(A)(i); Rule 4, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. Accordingly, these allegations will not be addressed in this opinion.

Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge engaged in favoritism, discrimination, and fraud. Complainant further alleges that the Subject Judge has a disability. The only support Complainant provides for these allegations, however, is her disagreement with the Subject Judge's rulings. For example, Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge failed to follow the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, failed to recuse himself, acted without jurisdiction, and stopped her from receiving "relief and monetary judgment," among other claims. These allegations are plainly merits-related and are not cognizable under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (chief judge may dismiss a complaint if he or she finds that it is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling); Rule 3(h)(3)(A), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("[a]n allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related"); Rule 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (a complaint must be dismissed in whole or in part to the extent that the chief judge concludes that the complaint is directly

related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling). In any event, there is no evidence to support Complainant's allegations of misconduct and/or the existence of a disability and they are dismissed as frivolous and unsupported by any evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists. 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Complainant also alleges that the Subject Judge engaged in an ex parte communication with defendants. There is no evidence that an improper ex parte communication occurred. Complainant's allegation is dismissed as frivolous. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Finally, Complainant contends that the Subject Judge engaged in improper use of his office because he wrote an "illegal order" on defense counsel's letterhead. The record has been reviewed and there is no evidence of judicial misconduct. Defense counsel sent a letter to the Subject Judge requesting an extension of time. Defense counsel copied Complainant on the letter and informed the Subject Judge that he could not obtain her consent because she was incarcerated. The Subject Judge stamped his signature and "So Ordered" on the letter and directed defense counsel to serve a copy of the order granting the extension on Complainant. The Subject Judge thereafter issued a separate text order on CM-ECF indicating that the request for an extension had been granted. Indeed, a copy of the text order is attached to the present complaint. Accordingly, there is no evidence to

support Complainant's allegation of judicial misconduct and it is dismissed as frivolous and unsupported by any evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

s/ D. Brooks Smith  
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-16-90073

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: April 27, 2017)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

Rule 18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ D. Brooks Smith  
Chief Judge

Dated: April 27, 2017