

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-17-90082

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: April 20, 2018)

PRESENT: SMITH, *Chief Judge*.

This is a complaint filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States Magistrate Judge (the “Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. §§ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). The “misconduct procedure [under the Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks

or other challenges to judges' rulings." *In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability*, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge disregards precedent and expresses disrespect and animosity towards Circuit Judges. Complainant complains about the merits of the Subject Judge's various rulings and alleges that his "overall attitude and demeanor" undermines his confidence in the judicial system.

Complainant has previously presented some of these allegations in two unsuccessful recusal motions. To the extent Complainant seeks to collaterally attack the denial of his recusal motions, the complaint is subject to dismissal as merits-related. Furthermore, Complainant's allegations regarding the Subject Judge's putative failure to follow precedent or otherwise questioning his rulings are likewise clearly merits-related. "An allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related." Rule 3(h)(3)(A), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*. Merits-related allegations do not constitute cognizable misconduct under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 3(h)(3)(A), 11(c)(1)(B), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*. These merits-related allegations will therefore be dismissed.

In any event, the judicial opinions cited by Complainant in support of his complaint have been reviewed and, while these opinions contain language expressing disagreement with the Subject Judge's legal analysis, none of the opinions suggest that the Subject Judge engaged in judicial misconduct. Nor do any of the statements that Complainant characterizes as "rebellious" and disrespectful rise to the level of judicial misconduct. Indeed, Complainant raised similar allegations in an unsuccessful mandamus proceeding. The Third Circuit panel that denied Complainant's mandamus petition stated that Complainant "failed to demonstrate that a reasonable person . . . would reasonably question [the Subject Judge's] impartiality" and that the "smattering of cases cited by [Complainant] as evidence of [the Subject Judge's] alleged bias against prisoner civil rights claims fail to reveal the high degree of antagonism which makes fair judgment impossible." Accordingly, Complainant's allegations are also subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/ D. Brooks Smith  
Chief Judge



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-17-90082

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: April 20, 2018)

PRESENT: SMITH, *Chief Judge*.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability*

*Proceedings* is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of

Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ D. Brooks Smith

Chief Judge

Dated: April 20, 2018