JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-21-90055

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: September 28, 2021)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge ("Subject Judge"). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant was arrested for threatening the life of a federal judge and related crimes.¹ The criminal proceeding was assigned to the Subject Judge. Complainant pleaded guilty to one count of making publicly available restricted personal information of the judge; the remaining charges were dismissed. The Subject Judge sentenced Complainant to a term of imprisonment consistent with a negotiated plea agreement. Complainant did not appeal.

In this complaint of judicial misconduct, Complainant alleges that he is being "politically prosecuted by far left Democrat Socialist Progressives that include [the Subject Judge]." Complainant claims that the criminal proceeding was "tainted with content and viewpoint discriminations and alterations and suppression of [his] speech" and accuses the Subject Judge of treason and disloyalty to the government. Complainant further alleges that the Subject Judge is biased against him, suffers from a mental impairment, engaged in partisan political activity, and acted in an abusive, harassing, and demonstrably egregious and hostile manner.

To the extent Complainant is attempting to collaterally attack the decisions rendered in his criminal case, including the sentence of imprisonment that was imposed, the allegations are merits-related and do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). "The misconduct procedure [under the

¹ The judge who received the threat is not a Subject Judge of this complaint.

Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." *In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability*, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Complainant's merits-related allegations are therefore subject to dismissal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

The remainder of Complainant's allegations are entirely baseless. A careful review of the record in the criminal proceeding demonstrates that there is no support for Complainant's allegations of bias, hostility, impairment, or any other form of judicial misconduct. Accordingly, the allegations of the complaint will be dismissed as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-21-90055

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: September 28, 2021)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) <u>Petition</u>. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) <u>Time</u>. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) <u>Form</u>. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . ." and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability*Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals' internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

Dated: September 28, 2021