No. 141, Original

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants. ◆

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

THE COMPACTING STATES' JOINT SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT ON OUTSTANDING CLAIMS AND ISSUES

Pursuant to the Order of October 7, 2024, the States of Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas ("Compacting States") jointly submit this Joint Supplemental Status Report on Outstanding Claims and Issues. *See* [Doc. 10] at ¶ 3. This Supplemental Status Report identifies the remaining claims, summarizes the remaining substantive issues, and comments on the remaining procedural issues.

I. REMAINING CLAIMS

A. Claims of the Compacting States

The claims of the Compacting States are summarized in the Joint Status Report of the Compacting States for the October 23, 2024 Status Conference [Doc. 9] ("States Report") at Section III.B.1 on pages 7-9. Texas's Compact claim remains, as do New Mexico's Counterclaims 1 and 4. *See* March 31, 2020 Order at 27-28, 30 [8th Cir. Dkt. 338]. In addition, Special Master Melloy left open the possibility that New Mexico is entitled to declaratory relief against the United States. *E.g., id.* at 15. Thus, the declaratory relief sought in Prayer Paragraphs A, E, H, I, J, and L of New Mexico's Counterclaims remain. *See* New Mexico's Counterclaims at 32-34, ¶¶ A, E, H, I, and J [8th Cir. Dkt. 99].

As described in the States Report, Texas and New Mexico are no longer seeking damages against each other. Instead, both are advocating for entry of the Proposed Index Decree that will provide complete declaratory and injunctive relief consistent with the Rio Grande Compact ("Compact").

B. Claims of the United States

The claims of the United States are similarly summarized in the States Report at Section III.B.2 on page 9. While the United States did not include allegations regarding a 1938 baseline condition in either its Complaint in Intervention or its accompanying brief, the Court has determined that it will allow the United States to

attempt to prove a claim under a 1938 baseline condition. *Texas v. New Mexico*, 144 S. Ct. 1756, 1770 (2024).

II. REMAINING SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED

The issues that were previously decided, as well as the remaining issues to be decided at trial, are summarized in the States Report at Section IV.A & B on pages 10 to 13. As the Compacting States explained, New Mexico has conceded, and Special Master Melloy concluded, that New Mexico interfered with delivery of Compact water to Texas in 2003 and 2004. See, e.g. Third Report at 77. Based on this finding, the Compacting States believe that all liability issues framed by the Compacting States' respective pleadings have been sufficiently addressed. The States contend that the remaining litigation issue on the States' claims is the appropriate remedy and whether that remedy is consistent with the Compact.

As discussed in the States Report, the specific remedy proposed by the Compacting States is the Proposed Index Decree. Based on the United States' opposition and the Court's 2024 decision, the Compacting States understand that entry of the Proposed Index Decree presents the following issues for decision:

1. <u>Issue No. 1</u>: Special Master Melloy determined that some groundwater pumping was allowable "without materially interfering" with Compact deliveries to Texas. *E.g.* Third Report at 77. What level of groundwater pumping causes interference with deliveries of the Texas

3

¹ As requested, New Mexico will be prepared to discuss the details of this concession during the Status Conference.

- apportionment to Texas? (*I.e.*, is the D2 baseline employed by the Proposed Index Decree consistent with the Compact?)
- 2. <u>Issue No. 2</u>: Does the plain language of the Compact forbid measuring Texas's receipt of its apportionment at the Texas state line? *See, e.g.,* Third Report at 72-75.
- 3. <u>Issue No. 3</u>: Does the Proposed Index Decree harm the "distinctively federal interests" of the United States? If so, what specific interests are harmed?
- 4. <u>Issue No. 4</u>: The Court has held that the United States serves as a "sort of agent" charged with a "legal responsibility" to ensure that the States' equitable apportionment is "in fact" delivered. *Texas v. New Mexico*, 583 U.S. 407, 413-14 (2018). Are the provisions of the Proposed Index Decree consistent with the United States' "legal responsibility?"

Separately, the Court held that the United States is free to pursue the claim that it pled, including that it is injured by the Project's adherence to a D2 baseline condition, as opposed to a 1938 baseline condition. Trial on this claim significantly overlaps with trial on the States' Proposed Index Decree. Outstanding issues related to the United States' claim include the following:

- 5. <u>Issue No. 5</u>: It is undisputed that the United States encouraged groundwater pumping in the Project area, developed the D2 baseline, employed the D2 baseline to divide Project water for over 40 years, and incorporated the D2 baseline into a federal Reclamation contract (the 2008 Operating Agreement). What impact does that evidence have on the ability of the United States to now claim injury based on a 1938 baseline condition?
- 6. <u>Issue No. 6</u>: What is the United States' "distinctively federal interest" arising under the Compact?

7. <u>Issue No. 7</u>: How is the "distinctively federal interest" of the United States' injured by the adoption of *any* specific baseline condition? How does the United States quantify its injury?

- 8. <u>Issue No. 8</u>: Given that the Compact apportions no water to the United States and identifies no substantive federal rights, does New Mexico owe a duty *to the United States* under the Compact?² If so, what is the scope of that duty?
- 9. <u>Issue No. 9</u>: The United States has not disclosed an expert to explain or support a 1938 baseline condition. How does the United States define its 1938 baseline condition?
- 10. <u>Issue No. 10</u>: What is the proposed remedy of the United States?
- 11. <u>Issue No. 11</u>: There has been no expert disclosures related to the United States obligations under the 1906 Treaty with Mexico. Is the United States pursuing a Treaty claim?

III. PROCEDURAL ISSUES RELATED TO THE OUTSTANDING CLAIMS

In the States Report, the Compacting States provided the Court with their views with respect to how the Parties should proceed. Specifically, the Compacting States urge the Special Master to recombine the liability and remedies trials for the reasons outlined in the States Report.

In the event that the Special Master adopts this "single trial" approach, the Compacting States proposed limited supplemental disclosures, and a short discovery period strictly limited to the Proposed Index Decree. To be clear, the Compacting States made that proposal as an allowance to accommodate the United States if the

5

² New Mexico accepts that it owes a duty to Texas under the Compact.

United States thought it was necessary. The Compacting States are prepared to present their remedies case on the Proposed Index Decree with or without additional supplemental disclosures and discovery. In support and opposition to the Compacting States' Motion for Entry of a Consent Decree, a total of 23 expert declarations were filed, 15 by the Compacting States and 8 by the United States. These declarations were both affirmative and responsive in nature and act as a disclosure of experts that provide ample notice of what the respective witnesses intend on testifying to. The proposal for a limited discovery period on the Proposed Index Decree was intended as a courtesy to the United States if it believes additional disclosures are needed on the Proposed Index Decree (beyond the declarations).

On the other hand, if the Special Master rejects the proposed "single trial" approach and proceeds with the separate liability trial over the Compacting States' objection, there should be no additional discovery or disclosures. The "next step" after the denial of the Compacting States' Motion to Enter the Consent Decree is the completion of the liability Phase II trial as early as possible. As the Special Master recognizes, no party is seeking a "do-over," so under those circumstances, there is no need for the 90-day period suggested by the United States to meet and confer on "supplemental expert disclosures, the potential designation of additional experts, and expert discovery." United States' Status Report at 9-10 [Doc. 8].

Case: 24-141 Document: 19 Page: 7 Date Filed: 10/21/2024

Finally, the Compacting States believe that if the liability Phase II trial is conducted without remedies it could be completed in 10 days of trial time. The Compacting States are prepared to begin that trial prior to the end of 2024. Proceeding in this manner would allow the Parties to proceed to the remedies trial by July of 2025 as the States previously proposed.

Respectfully submitted,

By: $/_{S}$	/ Stuart L.	Somach	By:	/s/ Jeffrey J. Wechsler
-------------	-------------	--------	-----	-------------------------

STUART L. SOMACH, ESQ.* THERESA C. BARFIELD, ESQ. SARAH A. KLAHN, ESQ. SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, PC 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: 916-446-7979 ssomach@somachlaw.com *Counsel of Record

KEN PAXTON Attorney General of Texas BRENT WEBSTER First Assistant Attorney General RALPH MOLINA Deputy First Assistant Attorney General JAMES LLOYD Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation KELLIE E. BILLINGS-RAY Chief, Environmental Protection Division H. CARL MYERS

RAÚL TORREZ New Mexico Attorney General JAMES GRAYSON Chief Deputy Attorney General JULIE ANN MEADE Assistant Attorney General NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFREY J. WECHSLER* SHELLY DALRYMPLE KALEB W. BROOKS Special Assistant Attorneys General SPENCER FANE LLP 325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, NM 87501 Telephone: 505-986-2637 jwechsler@spencerfane.com *Counsel of Record

BENNETT W. RALEY LISA M. THOMPSON MICHAEL A. KOPP Special Assistant Attorneys General TROUT RALEY

Case: 24-141 Document: 19 Page: 8 Date Filed: 10/21/2024

Deputy Chief, Environmental Protection Division Office of the Attorney General of Texas Environmental Protection Division P.O. Box 12548, MC-066 Austin, TX 78711-2548

Counsel for the State of Texas

1120 Lincoln St., Suite 1600 Denver, CO 80203

JOHN B. DRAPER CORINNE E. ATTON Special Assistant Attorneys General DRAPER & DRAPER LLC 325 Paseo De Peralta Santa Fe, NM 87501

Counsel for the State of New Mexico

By: /s/ Chad M. Wallace

PHILIP J. WEISER Attorney General of Colorado SHANNON STEVENSON Solicitor General LAIN LEONIAK First Assistant Attorney General CHAD M. WALLACE* Second Assistant Attorney General PRESTON V. HARTMAN Senior Assistant Attorney General Colorado Department of Law 1300 Broadway, 10th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Telephone: 720-508-6281 Chad.wallace@coag.gov *Counsel of Record

Counsel for the State of Colorado

No. 141, Original

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff,
v.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and
STATE OF COLORADO,

Defendants.

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this October 21, 2024, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **The Compacting States' Joint Supplemental Status Report on Outstanding Claims and Issues** to be served upon all parties and *amici curiae*, by and through the attorneys of record and/or designated representatives for each party and *amicus curiae* in this original action. As permitted by order of the Special Master, and agreement among the parties, service was made by electronic mail to those individuals listed on the attached service list, which reflects all updates and revisions through the current date.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stuart L. Somach

Page: 10 Date Filed: 10/21/2024 Case: 24-141 Document: 19

SPECIAL MASTER

HONORABLE D. BROOKS SMITH

chambers of judge d brooks smith @ca3.uscourts.gov 814-693-0570

(202)514-2217

United States Circuit Judge 1798 Plank Road, Suite 203

Duncansville, PA 16635

UNITED STATES

ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR* supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Solicitor General

TODD KIM

Special Master

Assistant Attorney General

EDWIN S KNEEDLER

Deputy Solicitor General

FREDERICK LIU

Assistant to the Solicitor General

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20530-0001

JEFFREY N. CANDRIAN jeffery.candrian@usdoj.gov

(303) 844-1382 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Environment & Natural Resources Division

999 18th Street

South Terrace – Suite 370

Denver, Colorado 80202 Seth.allison@usdoj.gov

(303)844-7917 **SETH C. ALLISON, Paralegal**

JUDITH E. COLEMAN Judith.coleman@usdoj.gov

(202) 514-3553 JENNIFER A. NAJJAR

jennifer.najjar@usdoj.gov U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (202) 305-0476

Environment & Natural Resources Division

P.O. Box 7611

Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

RAÚL TORREZ rtorrez@nmag.gov

New Mexico Attorney General

JAMES GRAYSON

Chief Deputy Attorney General

jgrayson@nmag.gov

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P.O. Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

NATHANIEL CHAKERES <u>nathaniel.chakeres@state.nm.us</u>

General Counsel (505) 231-4459

RICHARD A. ALLEN

Parenty Consul Coursel

(505) 570 7754

Deputy General Counsel

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

(505) 570-7754

P.O. Box 25102 Santa Fe, NM 87504

CHRISTOPHER D. SHAW chris.shaw@state.nm.us

New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission (505) 470-6843

P.O. Box 25102 <u>michele.delvalle@ose.nm.gov</u>

Santa Fe, NM 87504-5102 **Michele del Valle** – Law Clerk

JEFFREY WECHSLER*

SHELLY L. DALRYMPLE

sdalrymple@spencerfane.com

KALEB W. BROOKS kbrooks@spencerfane.com

Special Assistant Attorneys General (505) 986-2637 SPENCER FANE, LLP

325 Paseo De Peralta Santa Fe, NM 87501

Denver, Colorado 80203

**TERESA PACHECO – Paralegal **Counsel of Record tpacheco@spencerfane.com

BENNETT W. RALEY bralev@troutlaw.com

BENNETT W. RALEY
LISA M. THOMPSON
MICHAEL A. KOPP

braley@troutlaw.com
lthompson@troutlaw.com
mkopp@troutlaw.com

Special Assistant Attorneys General (303) 861-1963

TROUT RALEY
1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600

JOHN DRAPER john.draper@draperllc.com

CORINNE ATTON
Special Assistant Attorneys General

corinne.atton@draperllc.com
(505) 570-4591

DRAPER & DRAPER LLC

325 Paseo De Peralta Santa Fe, NM 87501

DONNA ORMEROD – Paralegal <u>donna.ormerod@draperllc.com</u>

Page: 12 Date Filed: 10/21/2024 Case: 24-141 Document: 19

STATE OF COLORADO

PHILIP J. WEISER

Attorney General of Colorado

ERIC R. OLSON eric.olson@coag.gov

Solicitor General

LAIN LEONIAK

Acting First Assistant Attorney General

CHAD M. WALLACE* chad.wallace@coag.gov

(720) 508-6281 (direct) Senior Assistant Attorney General PRESTON V. HARTMAN preston.hartman@coag.gov (720) 508-6257 (direct)

Assistant Attorney General

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW

Ralph Carr Judicial Center

7th Floor

1300 Broadway

Denver, CO 80203

NAN EDWARDS, Paralegal II nan.edwards@coag.gov

STATE OF TEXAS

STUART SOMACH* ssomach@somachlaw.com THERESA C. BARFIELD tbarfield@somachlaw.com SARAH A. KLAHN sklahn@somachlaw.com (916) 446-7979 SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, PC 500 Capital Mall, Suite 1000 (916) 803-4561 (cell)

Sacramento, CA 95814-2403

CORENE RODDER - Secretary crodder@somachlaw.com crivera@somachlaw.com **CRYSTAL RIVERA - Secretary YOLANDA DE LA CRUZ - Paralegal** vdelacruz@somachlaw.com

KEN PAXTON

Attorney General of Texas (512) 463-2012 **BRENT WEBSTER** (512) 457-4644 Fax

First Assistant Attorney General

RALPH MOLINA

Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

JAMES LLOYD

Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

KELLIE E. BILLINGS-RAY

Chief, Environmental Protection Division

H. CARL MYERS

Deputy Chief, Environmental Protection Division

Kellie.Billings-Ray@oag.texas.gov

Date Filed: 10/21/2024 Document: 19 Page: 13 Case: 24-141

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION P.O. Box 12548, MC-066 Austin, TX 78711-2548

AMICI / FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY

JAMES C. BROCKMANN* **JAY F. STEIN** jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A. jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com P.O. Box 2067 administrator@newmexicowaterlaw.com Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 **Administrative Copy**

PETER AUH (505) 289-3092 ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY pauh@abcwua.org WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY P.O. Box 568 Albuquerque, NM 87103-0568

CITY OF EL PASO

DOUGLAS G. CAROOM* SUSAN M. MAXWELL BICKERSTAFF HEATH DELGADO ACOSTA, LLP 2711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300 Austin, TX 78746

Administrative Copy

(512) 472-8021 dcaroom@bickerstaff.com smaxwell@bickerstaff.com

(505) 983-3880

CITY OF LAS CRUCES

JAY F. STEIN * (505) 983-3880 JAMES C. BROCKMANN jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com ifstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A. administrator@newmexicowaterlaw.com P.O. Box 2067 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

JOCELYN GARRISON (575) 541-2128 **BRAD DOUGLAS**

LAW CRUCES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

P.O. Box 20000

Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004

cityattorney@las-cruces.org

jgarrison@las-cruces.org bdouglas@las-cruces.org

ELEPHANT BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT

SAMANTHA R. BARNCASTLE*

BARNCASTLE LAW FIRM, LLC

1100 South Main, Suite 20 (88005)

P.O. Box 1556

Las Cruces, NM 88004

JANET CORRELL - Paralegal

samantha@h2o-legal.com

(575) 636-2377

(575) 636-2688 (fax)

janet@h2o-legal.com

EL PASO COUNTY WATER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1

MARIA O'BRIEN*

SARAH M. STEVENSON

MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS

& SISK, PA

500 Fourth Street N.W., Suite 1000

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2168

CHARLIE PADILLA – Legal Assistant

(505) 848-1803 (direct) mobrien@modrall.com

sarah.stevenson@modrall.com

RENEA HICKS

LAW OFFICE OF MAX RENEA HICKS

P.O.Box 303187

Austin, TX 78703-0504

charliep@modrall.com

rhicks@renea-hicks.com

(512)480-8231

HUDSPETH COUNTY CONSERVATION AND RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 1

ANDREW S. "DREW" MILLER*

KEMP SMITH LLP

919 Congress Avenue, Suite 1305

Austin, TX 78701

(512) 320-5466 dmiller@kempsmith.com

STATE OF KANSAS

DEREK SCHMIDT

(785) 296-2215

toby.crouse@ag.ks.gov

bryan.clark@ag.ks.gov

Attorney General of Kansas **JEFFREY A. CHANAY**

Chief Deputy Attorney General

TOBY CROUSE*

Solicitor General of Kansas

BRYAN C. CLARK

Assistant Solicitor General

14

DWIGHT R. CARSWELL

Assistant Attorney General 120 S. W. 10th Ave., 2nd Floor Topeka, KS 66612

NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS

TESSA T. DAVIDSON* ttd@tessadavidson.com

DAVIDSON LAW FIRM, LLC (505) 792-3636

4206 Corrales Road P.O. Box 2240

Corrales, NM 87048

JO HARDEN – Paralegal jo@tessadavidson.com

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

JOHN W. UTTON* (505) 699-1445

UTTON & KERY, P.A. john@uttonkery.com

P.O. Box 2386

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

General Counsel gencounsel@nmsu.edu

New Mexico State University (575) 646-2446

Hadley Hall Room 132 2850 Weddell Road Las Cruces, NM 88003

SOUTHERN RIO GRANDE DIVERSIFIED CROP FARMERS ASSOCIATION

ARNOLD J. OLSEN* (575) 624-2463

HENNIGHAUSEN OLSEN & MCCREA, L.L.P. ajolsen@h2olawyers.com

P.O. Box 1415

Roswell, NM 88202-1415

Malina Kauai, Paralegal mkauai@h2olawyers.com

Rochelle Bartlett, Legal Assistant rbartlett@h2olawyers.com